

DAC/8



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Callegari ET AL.
 Serial No.: 09/597,780
 For: MULTI-DOMAIN AND IPS LIQUID-CRYSTAL DISPLAY
 USING DRY ALIGNMENT
 Filed: June 20, 2000
 Examiner: Hoan C. Nguyen
 Customer No.: 22032
 Art Unit: 2871

Attorney Docket No.: YOR9-2000-0010

**MAIL STOP PETITION
 COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. BOX 1450
 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450**

Dear Sir:

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF PATENT APPLICATION,
 ELECTION OF INVENTION TRANSMITTAL FORM AND
 CHANGE OF ADDRESS REQUEST**

Transmitted herewith is a Petition for Revival of Patent application, Election of Invention and Change of Address form in the above-identified application. In accordance with the Office Action dated April 7, 2003, the applicants wish to elect Species I (claims 1-2 and 10-13) without traverse for prosecution in this application.

Petition for extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.137 is hereby made if, and to the extent, required. The fee for this extension of time is calculated to be \$_____ to extend the time for filing this response until _____.

The fee for any change in number of claims has been calculated as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	Claims Remaining Due to Restriction/ Requirement		Highest Number Previously Paid	Present Extra	Rate	
Total Claims	6	Minus	28	0	x \$18.00	\$0
Independent Claims	1	Minus	3	0	x \$84.00	\$0
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE				x \$280.00 = \$		
TOTAL FEE FOR CLAIM CHANGES				PAID		


The total fee for this amendment, including claim changes and any extension of time is calculated to be \$ 0.00.

 A check in the amount of \$ 0.00 is attached.

XXX The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of **\$1,330.00** for the Petition for Revival of Patent Application and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may be required with this communication or during the entire pendency of the application, or credit any overpayment, to **Deposit Account No. 50-0510**. A duplicate copy of this Form is enclosed.

Please address all future communications to : **PAUL D. GREELEY, ESQ.**
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10th FLOOR
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06901-2682
TELEPHONE: (203) 327-4500
TELEFAX: (203) 327-6401

October 24, 2003
Date



Paul D. Greeley, Esq.
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 31,019
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
Telephone: (203) 327-4500
Telefax: (203) 327-6401

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: MAIL STOP PETITION, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, ON OCTOBER 24, 2003.

Kenroy A. Browne
NAME



SIGNATURE

10/24/03
DATE

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#13

Applicant: Alessandro Cesare Callegari
Serial No: 09/597,780
Filed: June 20, 2000
Title: Multi-Domain and IPS Liquid-Crystal Display Using Dry Alignment
Docket No.: YOR9-2000-0010 / 964.0056USU
Examiner: Hoan C. Nguyen
Customer No. 22032
Art Unit: 2871

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Mailstop Petitions
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF PATENT APPLICATION
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Dear Sir:

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to an office action mailed on **April 7, 2003** which sets a **one (1)** month period for reply.

The abandonment date of this application is **October 8, 2003**.

**APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION
ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or Issue Fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995, and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.

1. Petition fee

X Fee **\$ 1,330.00** for Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application (37 CFR §1.17(m)). The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of **\$1,330.00** and any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may be required with this communication or credit any overpayment, to **Deposit Account No. 50-0510**.

10/28/2003 AWONDAF1 00000145 500510 09597780

01 FC:1453 1330.00 DA

2. Reply and/or Fee

A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office Action in the form of a response to office action (identify type of reply):

_____ has been filed previously on _____ .
XX are enclosed herewith.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee

☒ Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d) of \$_____ for a small entity or \$_____ for other than a small entity) equivalent to the number of months from abandonment to the filing of this petition is enclosed herewith.

4. Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

The applicant further notes that this petition filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137 (b) is being filed:

(A) within 3 months of the date of the applicant is first notified that the application is abandoned;

and

(B) within 1 year of the date of abandonment of the application.

XXX The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 and 1.17 which may be required with this communication or credit any overpayment, to **Deposit Account No. 50-0510**. A duplicate copy of this Form is enclosed.

REMARKS


Via teleconference on October 14, 2003, Examiner Hoan C. Nguen informed the applicant that the present application is indicated as being abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on April 7, 2003.

Applicant submits that the entire delay from the due date of the reply to the Office Action until this filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

As required by 37 CFR § 1.137(b), this petition is accompanied by the requisite reply, here a Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 24, 2003, the appropriate fee; and the petition fee.

Respectfully submitted,

October 24, 2003
Date



Paul D. Greeley, Esq.
Reg. No. 31,019
Attorney for the Applicants
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th Floor,
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
Tel: 203-327-4500
Fax: 203-327-6401

RECEIVED

OCT 29 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS